Do the following exercises from Chapter 3 of the text (Pages 174–181): 8, 9, 17, 29, 43, 47

8. Show that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a torsion-free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module that is not free.

▶ Solution.  $\mathbb{Q}$  is torsion free as a  $\mathbb{Z}$  module since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a field that contains  $\mathbb{Z}$  as a submodule. Specifically, if  $m \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  with mr = 0, then  $m \neq 0$  as an element of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and r = (1/m)(mr) = 0. Thus  $\mathbb{Q}$  is torsion-free as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

To see that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is not free as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, simply note that if  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  is any subset consisting of more than one element, then S is *not*  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linearly independent. To see this, suppose that r/s and t/u are two distinct elements of S. Then

$$(st)\frac{r}{s} - (ur)\frac{t}{u} = 0$$

is a nontrivial  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear dependence relation between r/s and t/u, so S is not  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linearly independent if it contains at least 2 elements. If  $S = \{r/s\}$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$  containing exactly one element, then S does not generate  $\mathbb{Q}$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. To see this, observe that 1/2s cannot be written as an integer multiple of r/s, since, if this were possible then we would have 1/2s = m(r/s) for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  which would give the equation in integers 1 = 2mr, which is not possible.

9. (a) Let R be an integral domain, let M be a torsion R-module, and let N be a torsion-free R-module. Show that  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M, N) = \langle 0 \rangle$ .

▶ Solution. Let  $f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)$  and let  $m \in M$ . Since M is a torsion module, there is an  $r \neq 0 \in R$  with rm = 0. Then 0 = f(0) = f(rm) = rf(m). Since  $r \neq 0$  and N is torsion-free, this implies that f(m) = 0. Since  $m \in M$  is arbitrary, this gives f = 0, as required.

(b) If n = km, then show that  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Z}_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$ .

▶ Solution. Define a map  $\varphi$ :  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Z}_n) \to \mathbb{Z}_n$  by  $\varphi(f) = f(1)$ . This is a  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ -module homomorphism from the definition of sum and scalar multiplication of functions. If f(1) = 0 then f(r) = rf(1) = 0 for all  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  so  $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi) = \langle 0 \rangle$ . If  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  is in the image of  $\varphi$ , then t = f(1) for some  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Z}_n)$ . Then mt = mf(1) = f(m) = f(0) = 0, so the order of t divides m. Conversely, any element of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  whose order divides m is f(1) for some  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(\mathbb{Z}_m, \mathbb{Z}_n)$ . Thus, the image of  $\varphi$  consists of all elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  whose order divides m, i.e.,  $\operatorname{Im}(\varphi) = \langle k \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_m$ .

17. Give examples of short exact sequences of R-modules

 $0 \longrightarrow M_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} M \xrightarrow{\phi'} M_2 \longrightarrow 0$ 

and

$$0 \longrightarrow N_1 \xrightarrow{\psi} N \xrightarrow{\psi'} N_2 \longrightarrow 0$$

such that

(a)  $M_1 \cong N_1, M \cong N, M_2 \not\cong N_2;$ 

▶ Solution. For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the short exact sequence

$$(*_n) \qquad \qquad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}_n \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $\phi_n(x) = nx$  and  $\pi$  is the standard projection map. Then choosing any two natural numbers  $n \neq m$  will give two short exact sequences  $(*_n)$  and  $(*_m)$  with the first two terms equal in each sequence, the third terms  $\mathbb{Z}_n \ncong \mathbb{Z}_m$ .

(b) 
$$M_1 \cong N_1, M \not\cong N, M_2 \cong N_2;$$

▶ Solution. For this part, you can use the two short exact sequences from Example 3.8, Page 122:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Z}_{pq} \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} \xrightarrow{g} \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow 0;$$

where p and q are distinct primes,  $\phi(m) = qm \in \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ ,  $f(m) = pm \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$  and  $\psi$ and g are the canonical projection maps.

(c)  $M_1 \not\cong N_1, M \cong N, M_2 \cong N_2.$ 

▶ Solution. Let M be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module consisting of sequences of elements from the field  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . That is,

$$M = \{ (a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots) : a_j \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \}.$$

For each natural number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define a map  $\psi_n : M \to M$  by

$$\psi_n(a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots) = (a_n, a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}, \ldots)$$

It is clear that  $\psi_n$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module homomorphism and that it is surjective. Moreover, if  $n \ge 1$ ,

$$\operatorname{Ker}(\psi_n) = \{ (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, 0, \dots) : a_j \in \mathbb{Z}_2 \} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^n.$$

Thus, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a short exact sequence

$$(*_n) \qquad 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} M \xrightarrow{\psi_n} M \longrightarrow 0$$

where

$$\phi_n(a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}) = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, 0, \ldots).$$

Since  $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_2^m$  if  $m \neq n$ , the short exact sequences  $(*_n)$  and  $(*_m)$  for  $m \neq n$  give the required example.

29. Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{30}$  and let  $A \in M_{2,3}(R)$  be the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Show that the two rows of A are linearly independent over R, but that any two of the three columns are linearly dependent over R.

 $\blacktriangleright$  Solution. As far as the rows are concerned, suppose there is an R-linear dependence relation

 $r\begin{bmatrix}1 & 1 & -1\end{bmatrix} + s\begin{bmatrix}0 & 2 & 3\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0 & 0 & 0\end{bmatrix}.$ 

This implies that r = 0 which then says that 2s = 0 and 3s = 0 so that s = 3s - 2s = 0. Thus the rows are linearly independent over R. As for the columns, note that

$$15\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix} + 15\begin{bmatrix}1\\2\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0\\0\end{bmatrix}; \quad 10\begin{bmatrix}1\\0\end{bmatrix} + 10\begin{bmatrix}-1\\3\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0\\0\end{bmatrix}; \text{ and } 6\begin{bmatrix}1\\2\end{bmatrix} + 6\begin{bmatrix}-1\\3\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0\\0\end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus, any two of the three columns are R-linearly dependent.

43. Suppose R is a PID and  $M = R\langle x \rangle$  is a cyclic R-module with  $\operatorname{Ann}(x) = \langle a \rangle \neq \langle 0 \rangle$ . Show that if N is a submodule of M, then N is cylic with  $\operatorname{Ann} N = \langle b \rangle$  where b is a divisor of a. Conversely, show that M has a unique submodule N with annihilator  $\langle b \rangle$  for each divisor b of a.

▶ Solution. Define an *R*-module homomorphism  $\varphi : R \to M$  by  $\varphi(r) = rx$ . Since *M* is cyclic with generator  $x, \varphi$  is surjective and  $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi) = \operatorname{Ann}(x) = \langle a \rangle$ . By the first isomorphism theorem for *R*-modules, there is an isomorphism  $\overline{\varphi} : R/\langle a \rangle \to M$ , and by the correspondence theorem,  $\varphi$  provides a one-to-one correspondence between the submodules of *M* and the submodules of *R* containing  $\langle a \rangle$ , with the submodule *N* corresponding to  $\varphi^{-1}(N)$ . But *R* is a PID so *R*-submodules of *R* are just principal ideals. Thus  $\varphi^{-1}(N) = \langle c \rangle \supseteq \langle a \rangle$ , so that  $N = \varphi(\langle c \rangle) = \{r(cx) : r \in R\}$ . Then the annihilator of *N* is

$$\operatorname{Ann}(N) = \{r \in R : r(cx) = 0\} = \{r \in R : a | rc\} = \langle \frac{a}{c} \rangle.$$

Thus, the annihilator of N is generated by the divisor b = a/c of a. Conversely, if b is any divisor of a, then the submodule  $N = \langle (a/b)x \rangle \subset M$  is a submodule with  $\operatorname{Ann}(N) = \langle b \rangle$ . Therefore, the pairing  $b \longleftrightarrow \langle (a/b)x \rangle$  sets up a one-to-one correspondence between divisors of a and submodules of N.

- 47. Let  $u = (a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ .
  - (a) Show that there is a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  containing u if and only if a and b are relatively prime.

▶ Solution. Suppose that v = (c, d) and that the two vectors u and v form a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Then there are integers k, l, m and n such that

$$ku + lv = (1, 0)$$
  
mu + nv = (0, 1),

which gives the matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} k & m \\ l & n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Taking determinants then gives (ad - bc)(kn - ml) = 1. Since this is an equation in integers, it follows that  $ad - bc = \pm 1$  so that a and b are relatively prime.

Conversely, if a and b are relatively prime, then we can write ra + sb = 1 and we claim that u = (a, b) and v = (-s, r) form a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . Consider the linear equation

$$xu + yv = (\alpha, \beta)$$

in integers. This is equivalent to the matrix equation

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & -s \\ b & r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Multiplying this equation on the left by the matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix}$  gives

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r & s \\ -b & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} r\alpha + s\beta \\ -b\alpha + a\beta \end{bmatrix}.$$

This equation shows that u and v is a linearly independent generating set for  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ , i.e., a basis.

(b) Suppose that u = (5, 12). Find a  $v \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  such that  $\{u, u\}$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

▶ Solution. Since  $5 \cdot 5 + (-2) \cdot 12 = 1$ , the calculation done in part (a) shows that we can take v = (2, 5).