## Homework 8

1. Prove that if  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then  $\hat{f}$  is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Solution: Note that we have

$$\hat{f}(y+h) - \hat{f}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \left( e^{-2\pi i x \cdot (y+h)} - e^{-2\pi i x \cdot y} \right) dx$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot y} (e^{-2\pi i x \cdot h} - 1) dx$$

Thus we have that

$$\left|\hat{f}(y+h) - \hat{f}(y)\right| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| \left| e^{-2\pi i x \cdot h} - 1 \right| dx$$

It suffices to show that this last expression can be made arbitrarily small as we let  $h \to 0$ , independently of y. The idea is to apply the Dominated Convergence Theorem. Set  $g_h(x) = f(x)e^{-2\pi i x \cdot (y+h)}$ . Then we have that  $|g_h| \leq |f|$ , and  $g_h \to g_0 = f(x)e^{-2\pi i x \cdot y}$  almost everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . So we then have that

$$\hat{f}(y+h) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_h(x) dx \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_0(x) dx = \hat{f}(y)$$

as  $h \to 0$  by Dominated Convergence.

2. Give  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , prove that

$$\xi \to \int_{|x| \le N} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx$$

converges to  $\hat{f}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $N \to \infty$ .

## Solution: Let

$$g_N(\xi) = \int_{|x| \le N} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx.$$

Observe that

$$\hat{f}(\xi) - g_N(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \mathbb{1}_{\{x:|x|>N\}} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx = \widehat{f\mathbb{1}_{\{x:|x|>N\}}}(\xi).$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \hat{f} - g_N \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left\| f\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{\{x:|x|>N\}}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &= \left\| f\mathbf{1}_{\{x:|x|>N\}} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \end{aligned}$$

But, for N large enough, we have that this last expression can be made smaller than any given  $\epsilon > 0$  since  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

3. If  $f_k, f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $f_k \to f$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\hat{f}_k \to \hat{f}$  and  $\check{f}_k \to \check{f}$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

**Solution:** Recall that  $f_k \to f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if for all multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  we have

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(f_k - f) = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| x^{\alpha} (\partial^{\beta} (f_k - f)) \right| \to 0$$

as  $k \to \infty$ . We then have that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| x^{\alpha} \partial^{\beta} (\hat{f}_k - \hat{f}) \right| = C(\alpha, \beta) \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left| \partial^{\alpha} (\widehat{x^{\beta}(f_k - f)}) \right| \le \left\| \partial^{\alpha} (x^{\beta}(f_k - f)) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

Recall that if  $f_k \to f$  in  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then we have that  $f_k \to f$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for any 0 .Moreover, we have

$$\left\|\partial^{\beta}g\right\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n})} \leq C(p,n) \sum_{|\alpha| = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{p} \rfloor + 1} \rho_{\alpha,\beta}(g).$$

Apply this estimate with  $g = f_k - f$  and p = 1 to conclude that  $\hat{f}_k \to \hat{f}$ . Similar computations prove the statement for  $\check{f}_k \to \check{f}$ .

4. Find the set of eigenvalues of the Fourier transform, namely the  $\lambda$  such that

$$\hat{f} = \lambda f.$$

Hint: Apply the Fourier transform to the above identity, and consider functions of the form  $xe^{-\pi x^2}$ ,  $(a + bx^2)e^{-\pi x^2}$  and  $(cx + dx^3)e^{-\pi x^2}$  for good choices of a, b, c, d.

Solution: Note that we have

$$\hat{f}(x) = f(-x)$$

and so  $\hat{\hat{f}}(x) = f(x)$ . If f is an eigenfunction then we see that the corresponding eigenvalue must satisfy

$$\lambda^4 - 1 = 0.$$

From this we see that the eigenvalues of the Fourier transform are 1, -1, i, -i. Using the remaining part of the hint, one can deduce the corresponding eigenfunctions to be Hermite polynomials.

5. If  $0 < c < \infty$ , define  $f_c(x) = e^{-cx^2}$ 

- (a) Compute  $\hat{f}_c$  in the following way: Let  $\varphi = \hat{f}_c$  and show that  $4\pi^2 t \varphi(t) + 2c \varphi'(t) = 0$ and then solve the resulting differential equation;
- (b) Show that there is one (and only one) value of c for which  $f_c = \hat{f}_c$ ;
- (c) Show that  $f_a * f_b = \gamma f_c$  where  $\gamma = \gamma(a, b)$  and c = c(a, b).

Solution: Part (a): Set

$$\varphi(t) = \hat{f}_c(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-cx^2} e^{-2\pi i x t} dx.$$

Then we have

$$\varphi'(t) = 2\pi i \int_{\mathbb{R}} x e^{-cx^2} e^{-2\pi ixt} dx$$
  
$$= -\frac{\pi}{c} i \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d}{dx} \left( e^{-cx^2} \right) e^{-2\pi ixt} dx$$
  
$$= -\frac{\pi}{c} i \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-cx^2} \frac{d}{dx} \left( e^{-2\pi ixt} \right) dx$$
  
$$= -2\frac{\pi^2}{c} t\varphi(t).$$

Rearrangement gives the resulting differential equation. Interchange of the derivative with respect to t, and switching the derivative with respect to x is justified since  $e^{-cx^2}$  is a Schwarz class function. Solving the resulting differential equation gives that

$$\hat{f}_c(t) = k e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{c}t^2}$$

where k is some constant. Note that we have that  $\hat{f}_c(0) = k = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-cx^2} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}}$ . Thus we have that

$$\hat{f}_c(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{c}t}.$$

Part (b): Suppose that we have a value of c such that

$$f_c(t) = f_c(t).$$

Then for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  we have that

$$\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}}e^{t^2\left(\frac{\pi^2}{c}-c\right)} = 1.$$

In particular, it must be true when t = 0, and we obtain that  $c = \pi$  is the only value that works.

Part (c): Taking the Fourier Transform, we have that

$$\widehat{f_a * f_b} = \widehat{f_a} \widehat{f_b} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{ab}} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{a}t^2} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{b}t^2} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{ab}} e^{-\pi^2 \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b}\right)t^2} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{ab}} e^{-\pi^2 \frac{a+b}{ab}t^2}.$$

Set  $c = c(a, b) = \frac{ab}{a+b}$  and  $\gamma = \gamma(a, b) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a+b}}$ , then we have that

$$\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{ab}}e^{-\pi^2\frac{a+b}{ab}t^2} = \gamma\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{c}}e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{c}t^2} = \gamma\hat{f}_c(t).$$

So we have that when  $c = c(a, b) = \frac{ab}{a+b}$  and  $\gamma = \gamma(a, b) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a+b}}$  that

$$f_a * f_b = \gamma f_c.$$

6. Suppose that  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and f > 0. Show that  $\left| \hat{f}(y) \right| < \hat{f}(0)$  for  $y \neq 0$ .

**Solution:** Note that for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have

$$\left|\hat{f}(y)\right| \le \|f\|_{L^1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx = \hat{f}(0).$$

Suppose that for some  $y \neq 0$  we have that

$$\left|\hat{f}(y)\right| = \hat{f}(0).$$

This then leads to a contradiction. Indeed, we have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)dx = \hat{f}(0) = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e^{-2\pi i x \cdot y}dx \right| = \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)e^{-2\pi i x \cdot y}dx$$

where  $\eta$  is a constant complex number with  $|\eta| = 1$ . Re-arrangement of this inequality gives that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)(1 - \eta e^{-2\pi i x \cdot y}) dx = 0.$$

This implies that  $f(x)(1 - \eta e^{-2\pi i x \cdot y}) = 0$  almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . But, since  $y \neq 0$ , we have that  $(1 - \eta e^{-2\pi i x \cdot y}) \neq 0$  almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and so f = 0 almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This is a contradiction to the conditions on f, and so there can not be a  $y \neq 0$  with equality holding. Thus we must have for  $y \neq 0$  that

$$\left|\hat{f}(y)\right| < \hat{f}(0).$$

- 7. Compute the Fourier transform of  $g(x) = e^{-2\pi |x|}$  using the following steps:
  - (a) Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right) dx$$

(b) Use part (a) with  $f(x) = e^{-tx^2}$  and t > 0 to obtain the following identity:

$$e^{-2t} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-y - \frac{t^2}{y}} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}};$$

(c) Set  $t = \pi |x|$  and integrate with respect to  $e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot x} dx$  to obtain that

$$\widehat{g}(\xi) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \frac{1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}$$

**Solution:** Part (a): For one proof, one can check the identity when  $f(x) = 1_{[0,1]}(x)$ , and then use that simple functions are dense in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Here is another proof that one can give. Note that we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)dx = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(x)dx + \int_{-\infty}^{0} f(x)dx.$$

We work with each of these integrands separately. For the first one, we have that

$$\int_0^\infty f(x)dx = \int_1^\infty f\left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right)\left(1 + \frac{1}{x^2}\right)dx.$$

While for the second one, we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{0} f(x)dx = \int_{-\infty}^{-1} f\left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right)\left(1 + \frac{1}{x^2}\right)dx$$

Now, note that we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{-1} f\left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right) dx + \int_{-1}^{1} f\left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right) dx + \int_{1}^{\infty} f\left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right) dx$$

Using these identities from above, it is easy to see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f\left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right)dx + \int_{|x|>1} f\left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right)\frac{dx}{x^2} - \int_{-1}^{1} f\left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right)dx.$$

To conclude the computation, we are left with showing that

$$\int_{|x|>1} f\left(x-\frac{1}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{x^2} = \int_{-1}^{1} f\left(x-\frac{1}{x}\right) dx$$

To prove this last identity one shows that

$$\int_0^1 f\left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right) = \int_{-\infty}^{-1} f\left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{x^2}$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^{0} f\left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right) = \int_{1}^{\infty} f\left(x - \frac{1}{x}\right) \frac{dx}{x^{2}}$$

via a standard change of variables. Part (b): We use part (a) applied to the function  $f(x) = e^{-tx^2}$ . Now observe that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-tx^2} dx = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}}$$

But, we also have that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-t\left(x-\frac{1}{x}\right)^2} dx = e^{2t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-tx^2-t\frac{1}{x^2}} dx$$
$$= \frac{e^{2t}}{\sqrt{t}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-y^2-\frac{t^2}{y^2}} dy$$
$$= \frac{e^{2t}}{\sqrt{t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-u-\frac{t^2}{u}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{u}}.$$

Using Part (a), we have that

$$\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{t}} = \frac{e^{2t}}{\sqrt{2t}} \int_0^\infty e^{-u - \frac{t^2}{u}} \frac{du}{\sqrt{u}}.$$

Rearrangement gives the result.

Part (c): Now set  $t = \pi |x|$  in Part (b) and obtain,

$$e^{-2\pi|x|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-y - \frac{\pi^2 |x|^2}{y}} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}}.$$

Then integrate this expression with respect to  $e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx$  to obtain that

$$\begin{split} \hat{g}(\xi) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi |x|} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-y - \frac{\pi^2 |x|^2}{y}} \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}} \right) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty e^{-y} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{\pi^2}{y} |x|^2} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx \right) \frac{dy}{\sqrt{y}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \int_0^\infty e^{-y(1+|\xi|^2)} y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \frac{1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \int_0^\infty y^{\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-y} dy \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})}{\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} \frac{1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}. \end{split}$$